#### DFC Compliance Update and Considerations for Shallow Zone Protective Water Levels



Aug 1, 2017

### **Next Steps**

- Desired Future Conditions (DFC)
  - Compliance Calculations
  - Methodolgy

- Protective Drawdown Levels (PDL) Calculations
  - Compliance Calculations & Methodology based on Surfaces
  - Compliance Calculations & Methodology based on Volumes



# **DFC Compliance**

Aquifer	Zone	DFC	Drawdown from 2000 to 2012			Drawdown from 2000 to 2014			Drawdown from 2000 to 2016		
			# of Wells	Calculated Drawdown	Percent of DFC	# of Wells	Calculated Drawdown	Percent of DFC	# of Wells	Calculated Drawdown	Percent of DFC
Sparta	Total	30	3	4	12%	8	5	15%	6	8	27%
Queen City	Total	30	5	3	10%	9	3	11%	7	0.5	2%
Carrizo	Total	65	1	7	10%	1			2	11	17%
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	Total	140	11	-11	-8%	16	-12	-8%	15	-8	-6%
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	Total	300	14	11	4%	29	14	5%	29	12	4%
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	Total	180	5	7	4%	5	8	5%	5	9	5%
Yegua Jackson	Total	100	1	16	16%	8	17	17%	5	29	29%
Brazos River Alluvium	Burleson	6									
	Milam	5									



#### Compliance with Shallow Zone Protective Water Levels















-20

-40

-60

-80

-100

-120

2000

£

wn

ā





- Threshold 1 50%
- -DFC
- Expected Drawdown based on Linear Interpolation to 2060 DFC



#### **DFC Compliance: Future Considerations**

Aquifer	Zone	DEC	Drawdown from 2000 to 2016	Drawdown from 2010 to 2016	
Aquiler	Zone	Dic	# of Wells	# of Wells	
Sparta	Total	30	6	18	
Queen City	Total	30	7	15	
Carrizo	Total	65	2	5	
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	Total	140	15	25	
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	Total	300	29	60	
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	Total	180	5	21	
Yegua Jackson	Total	100	5	5	

Shifting our Baseline from 2000 to 2010 would :

- allow us to use more of the POSGCD monitoring network

- Give us more confidence in our drawdown results



### **DFC Compliance Methodology**

Step #1

Step #2







### PDLs Compliance for Shallow Zone– Methodology based on Surfaces

Shallow Management Zone	DFC	Drawdown from 2000 to 2012		Drawdown from 2000 to 2013		Drawdown from 2000 to 2014		Drawdown from 2000 to 2015		Drawdown from 2000 to 2016	
		Calculated Drawdown	Percent of DFC								
Sparta/ Queen City	10 <sup>1</sup>	4	40%	4	40%	5	50%	4	40%	3	30%
Carrizo	20	5	25%	6	30%	6	30%	6	30%	4	20%
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	20	6	30%	7	35%	7	35%	7	35%	6	30%
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	20	6	30%	6	30%	6	30%	6	30%	6	30%
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	20	6	30%	6	30%	6	30%	6	30%	6	30%
Yegua Jackson	15	6	40%	7	47%	7	47%	8	53%	5	33%

<sup>1</sup> This value represents the individual DFCs defined for the Sparta and Queen City, and assumed to be valid for the combined extent.



### **Compliance with PDLs for Shallow Zone Water Levels as a Function of Time**

Drawdown (ft)

2000

2010

2020











Expected Drawdown based on Linear Interpolation to 2060 DFC





**Shallow Simsboro** 



2030

2050

2040

2060



### **PDLs for Shallow Zone - Overview**





#### PDLs Compliance for Shallow Zone– Methodology based on Surfaces





#### PDLs Compliance for Shallow Zone– Methodology based on Volumes

Shallow		201	5	2016		
Management Zone	DFC	Calculated Drawdown	Percent of DFC	Calculated Drawdown	Percent of DFC	
Sparta	10	5	50%	3	30%	
Queen City	10	4	40%	2	20%	
Carrizo	20	6	30%	3	15%	
Calvert Bluff (Upper Wilcox)	20	7	35%	6	30%	
Simsboro (Middle Wilcox)	20	7	35%	6	30%	
Hooper (Lower Wilcox)	20	6	30%	6	30%	
Yegua Jackson	15	7	47%	4	27%	



#### Methodology based on Volumes-Overview











Carrizo outcrop



Carrizo @ 400 ft





Carrizo outcrop







Queen City outcrop



Queen City @ 400 ft











0 ft deep

~ 200 ft deep

~ 400 ft deep



### **Next Steps**

- Discuss Refinements & Changes to Approach
- Update Methodology Document Presented on June 6, 2017 in August 2017

 Submit Methodology Document to POSGCD for Review in September 2017

